Does large-scale infrastructure have an impact on urban development?
The case of Geneva, Switzerland

Sixty second summary

When developing urban areas, one of the key issues to consider is that of traffic. The provision of well-planned, adequate transport infrastructure is key to dealing with the levels of traffic generated by a major urban area. The issue of accessibility is also important, and transport infrastructure can help ensure an urban area is made fully accessible both internally and externally. This research by Pierre Stämpfli, which formed part of his Master thesis at the Centre for Urban & Real Estate Management (CUREM), Zurich, aimed to analyse the relationship between large infrastructure and urban development by using the example of Geneva’s city bypass, which opened in 1993, as a real case study.

Covering an area of approximately 41,000 km², Switzerland is a landlocked country in Western Europe, home to some 7.5 million people. As a federal republic, it is divided into 26 states, referred to as Cantons. While Berne is Switzerland’s capital, its economic centres are the cities of Zurich and Geneva.

The city of Geneva, the capital of the Canton of Geneva, is the second most prosperous city after Zurich. Geneva is located in what has been called the ‘metropole lemanique’, an area that is developing around Lake Geneva and home to approximately 1.5 million inhabitants. Being a growing urban area, there are many large-scale infrastructure developments underway there. One of the major development projects in Geneva has been the government’s attempts to deal with the city’s traffic problems. The main routes into the city, from the north and the south, were originally unconnected and consequently traffic had to come into the city when in transit.

The main findings were that:

• The urban form is heterogeneous and strongly influenced by the building regulations prevailing in the different construction zones
• Urban sprawling was happening, as in other modern cities, but the correlation with the new infrastructure couldn’t be demonstrated
• There is no obvious relationship between the construction of the motorway and urban development in the canton of Geneva.
As in other agglomerations, the bypass is actually mostly used for inner-region trips. It transformed relations in the region and let new traffic knots appear. The main question is therefore: did the transformation of these relations in the region have an effect on the urban development of Geneva? And if so, what kind of effect?

The city of Geneva is located in the eastern part of the so-called ‘métropole lémanique’, that is developing itself around the lake of Geneva, and which houses approximately 1.5 million inhabitants. Geneva is the biggest urban centre of the metropolis area.
Pierre Stämpfli studied the region at three levels:

**Level One**
An analysis of the region’s dynamics as a whole, with specific focus on urban sprawl.

**Level Two**
An analysis of the demographic evolution and employment market at a communal level, where the focus was on the analysis of the employment and demographic distribution and its evolution in the communes with improved accessibility, relative to the communes without.

**Level Three**
An analysis of the evolution in the man-made environment at a district level, with specific focus on the evolution of building activity during the period.

These three levels of analysis enabled Pierre Stämpfli to produce a model that describes the relationship between the man-made environment of a certain area and its accessibility.

So what did the analysis show?
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Results

At Level One, the area selected for analysis was the so-called ‘region franco-valdo-genevoise’, which goes beyond the borders of the canton of Geneva and integrates parts of France and parts of the Vaud region. The analysis undertaken in this study shows that, as in other modern cities, urban sprawl had occurred, however at this level the correlation between urban sprawl and new infrastructure could not be proved.

The concept of accessibility was introduced at Level Two of the analysis. For the purpose of this study it was defined as ‘the travel time from a location in the region of Geneva to the next reference point’. The reference points used in this study were the motorway accesses and the city centre. This enabled the region to be divided into seven groups of communes according to their level of accessibility to the new infrastructure and the city centre, before and after the bypass was constructed. The population and numbers of jobs were then recorded at five year intervals from 1980-2000.

This analysis of the demographic evolution of the region did not show a clear trend. There is, however, evidence of population redistribution from the city centre outwards to the other communes. This trend occurs across the entire region, however no obvious difference was observed between municipalities with an improved access to the highway, and those without. As Pierre Stämpfli comments, ‘as in previous analysis, urban sprawl goes on, but the highway does not have a significant effect on its regional structuring.’

Indeed, according to the results of this research, accessibility has the biggest effect on where employers locate. They observed a positive trend in employment figures for areas near to the bypass and the city centre.

At Level Three the focus was on building activity, and as such the scale of the study was reduced – the premise being to examine the changes in various parts of the canton using representative samples. For each sub-sector, the number of buildings constructed in the four five-year periods from 1980 to 2000, were recorded. This evidence showed that the sub-sectors that have the highest density form a corridor that runs parallel to the motorway.

And what about the urban form? Well, as this research reports, the urban form is heterogeneous and is strongly influenced by the building regulations that prevail upon the different construction zones. The researchers observed three building types that blend together to form the urban landscape. Firstly, within an area of detached housing, the morphology is made up of small entities.

The second type is industrial buildings, which tend to be found in wide zones that cover a large surface area. Finally, the third type, groups of residential or office buildings, are generally organised in a linear arrangement. The more recent developments are physically separate from each other, and more often than not their relationship to each other is not obvious.

So, Pierre Stämpfli identified these trends, but how did they stand up to testing?
In the canton of Geneva, as the permissions for construction are given by the public authority in the form of zone planning, it is safe to say that here urban development is largely driven by the government’s decisions.

For the purpose of this study, Pierre Stämpfli assumed that the guidelines expressed in the canton’s Master Plan would be applied. The results showed strong development levels in those sectors that were close to the motorway interchange from the third period (2010-2015), in comparison to the general trend, which was negative. Pierre Stämpfli comments that ‘single family houses areas also benefit from the new legislation’.

Calculating the multiple linear regression helped Pierre Stämpfli to confirm these trends. All the variables tested were statistically insignificant, with the exception of the construction potentials and the building activity in the previous period. In other words, no correlation was found between accessibility to the city centre or to the bypass, and the urban development of Geneva.

“...urban development is largely driven by the Governments decisions...”
The municipalities that are newly connected to the motorway, do not appear to have benefited from the accelerated change in demographics. However, a phenomenon of demographic redistribution between the urban centre and the peripheral municipalities was observed by Pierre Stämpfli in this study. In fact, the influence of accessibility has been stronger on the location of jobs, since municipalities close to the new motorway and close to the city centre have experienced higher than average growth in the number of jobs they have available.

However, these trends cannot be directly translated into construction activities. There is no obvious relationship with levels of accessibility, and the types of construction that are undertaken are heterogeneous. Recent building developments in the area are physically separate from one another and subsequently appear as individuals. As Pierre Stämpfli comments ‘the result is a patchwork style urban structure that lacks overall unity’.

Indeed, results show that urban development is hampered by the shortage of available land and the other location factors become insignificant. This study has enabled a systematic analysis of developments in Geneva over the last twenty years, and their relationship with the new section of motorway, to be carried out. This study follows on from previous studies by the Federal Regional Development Office (ARE) and, in the case of Geneva, provides another example of the difficulty in showing a direct relationship between infrastructure development and general urban development.

The development of this model has suffered from a lack of available data at sub-sector level from the start of the observation period. The Cantonal Office of Statistics is however currently gathering data at this level, which will enable the model developed in this particular study to be improved in the future.

Drawing conclusions

This study has shown that there is no obvious relationship between the construction of the motorway in Geneva and urban development. At the regional level, urban sprawl – which is clearly underway – has so far not been exacerbated by the new motorway.
Vegetation strata of the study site: (a) Savanna woodland, (b) Palmetto palm thicket, (c) Pine-palmetto savanna
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FiBRE written by Amy Roberts, RICS
RICS (Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors) is the leading organisation of its kind in the world for professionals in property, land, construction and related environmental issues. As part of our role we help to **set, maintain and regulate standards** – as well as providing **impartial advice** to Governments and policymakers. RICS has 140,000 members who operate out of 146 countries, supported by an extensive network of regional offices located in every continent around the world.

To ensure that our members are able to provide the **quality of advice** and **level of integrity** required by the market, RICS qualifications are only awarded to individuals who meet the most rigorous requirements for both education and experience and who are prepared to maintain **high standards** in the public interest. With this in mind it’s perhaps not surprising that the letters RICS represent the mark of property professionalism worldwide.